The Honorable Alejandro Mayorkas  
Secretary of Homeland Security  
2707 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE  
Washington, DC 20528

February 2, 2021

Re:  Law Professors and Legal Experts Analysis of State of Law Regarding DHS’s Authority to Exercise Discretion After a Removal Order Has Been Issued

Dear Secretary Mayorkas:

On Day 1 of the Biden-Harris administration, the White House and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued an Executive Order and a memorandum intending to restore the use of humane and sensible discretion in immigration enforcement. Since the issuance of this order and memorandum, immigrant communities and their advocates and legal service providers have been saddened to see U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) continue to engage in enforcement activities, including deportations, that appear at odds with the policies issued.

In this memo, the undersigned law professors and legal experts share our analysis of the current state of law regarding DHS’s authority to refrain from executing removals in individual cases and to implement its interim enforcement priorities.

Importantly, the recent Temporary Restraining Order entered in *Texas v. United States* does not undo or limit the longstanding and unchallenged authority of DHS to exercise prosecutorial discretion favorably towards a person or group of persons after they have received a removal order (and beyond the removal period). We urge DHS to use this authority to halt deportations scheduled to take effect today, tomorrow and in the coming weeks whenever they conflict with the Biden Administration’s commitment to a humane immigration system and with years of legal precedent and agency practice recognizing the importance of prosecutorial discretion in immigration enforcement.

I. Background

On January 20, 2021, Acting DHS Secretary David Pekoske issued a memorandum to senior leadership with three policy directives: (1) a comprehensive, agency-wide review of all enforcement priorities and policies; (2) a set of narrow interim-enforcement priorities to be followed during the agency-wide review; and (3) a 100-day pause on removals of individuals present in the United States with final removal orders, subject to certain exceptions. See Memorandum from David Pekoske, Acting Secretary of U.S. Dep’t of Homeland Sec., *Review of
Importantly, the January 20 Memorandum recognizes the limited resources of the agency and, consistent with years of how prosecutorial discretion has functioned in the immigration system, that prosecutorial discretion may be exercised at every stage of immigration enforcement, including after a final removal order has been issued, such as in decisions pertaining to defer action, join in motions, or the like. See Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia, Prosecutorial Discretion in a Biden Administration, Yale J. Reg. (Jan. 21, 2021), https://www.yalejreg.com/nc/prosecutorial-discretion-in-a-biden-administration-by-shoba-sivaprasad-wadhia/.

On January 26, 2021, a federal judge in the Southern District of Texas issued a temporary restraining order enjoining the department from “enforcing and implementing the policies described in the January 20 Memorandum in Section C entitled ‘Immediate 100-Day Pause on Removals.’” Texas v. United States et al, __ F. Supp. 3d __, No. 6:21-cv-00003 (S.D.Tex. Jan. 26, 2021) [hereinafter TRO]. In preserving the status quo, the District Court indicated its intention to return to the “Defendants’ removal policy prior to issuance of the January 20 Memorandum’s 100-day pause on removals.” TRO at 4. The Court did not enjoin Section A of the January 20 Memorandum calling for a comprehensive review of immigration enforcement policies and priorities or Section B, which establishes a series of interim civil immigration enforcement guidelines that are currently in effect.

II. The Injunction Against the “Pause on Removals” Does Not Eliminate DHS’s Preexisting Authority to Exercise Prosecutorial Discretion, Including Staying Individual Removal Orders

As the TRO by its own terms enjoined only the nationwide 100-day pause on removals and ordered a return to the “last uncontested status quo” before the January 20 Memorandum, it left undisturbed the significant legal authority for DHS to exercise prosecutorial discretion and decline to execute removal orders in particular circumstances and in myriad ways. TRO at 4 (quoting Canal Auth. of State of Fla. v. Callaway, 489 F.2d 567, 576 (5th Cir. 1974)). Prior to the January 20 Memorandum, DHS had authority to stay removals in individual cases and groups of cases. The TRO did not purport to overturn regulations or to alter any pre-January 20 authority possessed by DHS.

It is well-established that DHS has prosecutorial discretion and authority to decide how to use its enforcement resources. See Reno v. Am.-Arab Anti-Discrimination Comm., 525 U.S. 471, 483 (1999) (explaining that “the Executive has discretion to abandon” execution of removal orders); see also Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 832 (1985). The Supreme Court has recognized that...


The immigration statute, regulations, and guidance documents from as early as 1976 underscore the authority of DHS to exercise this discretion generally. The history also shows that humanitarian factors have long guided how this discretion is applied. *See, e.g.*, Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia, *Beyond Deportation: The Role of Prosecutorial Discretion in Immigration Cases* (2017), [https://nyupress.org/9781479829224/beyond-deportation/](https://nyupress.org/9781479829224/beyond-deportation/). 1 Further, well-established regulations authorize DHS to employ its discretionary authority to grant a stay of removal. *See, e.g.*, 8 C.F.R. § 241.6 (2002). DHS continued exercising its authority to stay removals in individual cases during the Trump administration.

Because those authorities existed prior to the January 20 Memorandum, DHS may continue to exercise them without hindrance.

Likewise, the TRO did not purport to enjoin any aspect of the January 20 Memorandum other than the categorical pause to effectuating removal. TRO at 17 n.7. The January 20 Memorandum also ordered a review of enforcement priorities and set “Interim Civil Enforcement Guidelines.” The Interim Civil Enforcement Guidelines, which remain in effect, explicitly encompass individualized determinations relevant to individuals with final orders of removal, including decisions whether to release from detention, parole, defer action, or join in motions. To the extent that those guidelines are now in effect, it follows that the agency must be able to make individualized determinations to forestall the removal of individuals who are not enforcement priorities.

While the Court preliminarily found that “‘shall means ‘must’” in 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(1)(A)—a conclusion at odds with decades of Supreme Court precedent2 and agency practice—the TRO itself does not purport to enjoin the Defendants from using its pre-January 20 authorities to forbear from removing individuals either within or beyond the removal period, but instead

---


2 *See, e.g.*, *Town of Castle Rock, Colo. v. Gonzales*, 545 U.S. 748, 761–65 (2005) (finding that local law enforcement officers maintain discretion to refrain from engaging in an enforcement action even in light of statute stating “shall arrest...”, and discussing the “deep-rooted nature of law-enforcement discretion, even in the presence of seemingly mandatory legislative commands”).
merely enjoins the implementation of a categorical pause. Indeed, it would be unreasonable to interpret the TRO as holding that § 1231(a) is inconsistent with agency stay authority.

The TRO did not purport to enjoin any regulation or pre-January 20 practice. To rule that way would not be to enforce the status quo ante, but to adopt a new rule inconsistent with pre-January 20 practice. It follows that the stay regulation remains in force. It also follows that § 1231(a) does not create an inexorable command to remove all noncitizens with final removal orders within 90 days, and was not interpreted by the TRO to create such a command.

III. The TRO Does Not Impact DHS’s Ability to Implement Its Stated Interim Enforcement Priorities

It is also relevant to note that the TRO does not purport to impact DHS’s ability to implement the interim enforcement priorities. Numerous removal orders have been entered and remain in place because DHS has failed to exercise discretion to join a motion to reopen, to agree to grant a new credible fear interview, or to take other administrative actions. In such cases, the TRO in no way precludes DHS from taking action to exercise discretion on an enforcement action that would in practice result in the person not being removed.

**

On the campaign trail, President Biden spoke passionately about reasserting “America’s commitment to asylum-seekers and refugees” and doing better to “uphold our laws humanely and preserve the dignity of immigrant families, refugees, and asylum-seekers.” These same values were included in his Immigration Platform, his agenda for the Latino Community, and the Biden-Sanders Unity Task Force Recommendations on immigration, along with President Biden’s own 2020 World Refugee Day message. Realizing these commitments will require that DHS utilize the full scope of tools available to it to exercise prosecutorial discretion in the interests of justice. Unnecessarily restricting agency discretion, without legal justification, will inevitably result in the continuation of enforcement practices that send asylum seekers back to their persecutors and destabilize families and communities.

Sincerely,

* Titles and affiliations are for informational purposes only

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Institution/Institute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alina Das</td>
<td>Professor of Clinical Law</td>
<td>New York University School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alisa Whitfield</td>
<td>Clinical Teaching Fellow</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Schoenholtz</td>
<td>Professor from Practice</td>
<td>Georgetown Law</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Schaufele</td>
<td>Practitioner-in-Residence</td>
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<td>Clinical Professor of Law</td>
<td>Cornell Law School</td>
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<td>Center for Gender &amp; Refugee Studies</td>
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<td>Clinical Professor</td>
<td>University of Iowa College of Law</td>
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<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>The Right to Immigration Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrie Rosenbaum</td>
<td>Visiting Scholar &amp; Lecturer</td>
<td>UC Berkeley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Shane Ellison</td>
<td>Senior Lecturing Fellow, Immigrant Rights Clinic</td>
<td>Duke University School of Law</td>
</tr>
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<td>Director of Appellate Litigation</td>
<td>National Immigrant Justice Center</td>
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<td>Director, Asylum Clinic</td>
<td>New York Law School</td>
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</table>
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Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
University of Chicago Law School
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Visiting Professor of Law  
Georgetown University Law Center

David B. Thronson  
Alan S. Zekelman Professor of International Human Rights Law  
Michigan State University College of Law
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Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
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David Rubenstein  
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Washburn School of Law
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Reef C. Ivey II Distinguished Professor of Law  
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Co-Director, Immigration Clinic  
University of Texas School of Law
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Director of Clinical Programs  
Creighton University School of Law
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University of Texas School of Law
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University of Baltimore School of Law
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Columbia University Law School
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Clinical Professor of Law  
St. Mary’s University School of Law
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Associate Teaching Professor  
Keough School of Global Affairs, University of Notre Dame
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Professor of Law  
Vermont Law School

Faiza Sayed  
Visiting Professor of Clinical Law  
Brooklyn Law School

Fatma Marouf  
Professor of Law  
Texas A&M School of Law

Frances Kreimer  
Visiting Assistant Professor & Director, Clinic for Asylum, Refugee & Emigrant Services  
Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law

Gabriel J. Chin  
Edward L. Barrett Jr. Chair and Martin Luther King Jr. Professor of Law  
UC Davis School of Law
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University of Idaho College of Law
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Director University of Houston Law Center
Immigration Clinic

Gloria Valencia-Weber
Emerita Professor
University of New Mexico School of Law

Haiyun Damon-Feng
Assistant Director, W.H. Gates Public Service Law Program
University of Washington School of Law
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Susan Westerberg Prager Distinguished Professor of Law
School of Law, University of California, Los Angeles

Jane G. Rocamora
Senior Staff Attorney, Clinical Supervisor, Greater Boston Legal Services

Jason A. Cade
J. Alton Hosch Associate Professor of Law, Community HeLP Clinic Director
University of Georgia School of Law

Jaya Ramji-Nogales
Professor of Law
Temple Law School

Jayashri Srikantiah
Professor of Law & Director, Immigrants’ Rights Clinic, Associate Dean for Clinical Education
Stanford Law School
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Adjunct Asylum Clinician Emeritus
Brooklyn & Seton Hall Law Schools
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Associate Clinical Professor of Law
Temple University Beasley School of Law
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UC Irvine School of Law
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UCLA School of Law
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Julia Hernández
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Baldini-Potermin</td>
<td>Maria Baldini-Potermin &amp; Associates, PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Oliveras</td>
<td>Professor of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howard University School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Pabon</td>
<td>Professor of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loyola University New Orleans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark E. Steiner</td>
<td>Professor of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Texas College of Law Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position and Affiliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Yanik</td>
<td>Professor of the Practice, Tulane Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Boaz</td>
<td>Visiting Asst. Dir. - Immigrant Rights Clinic, Washington and Lee School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maureen A. Sweeney</td>
<td>Law School Professor, University of Maryland Carey Immigration Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauricio Noroña</td>
<td>Clinical Teaching Fellow, Cardozo School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael J Churgin</td>
<td>Raybourne Centennial Professor in Law, University of Texas at Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Olivas</td>
<td>Wm B. Bates Distinguished Chair in Law (Emeritus), University of Houston Law Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Wishnie</td>
<td>William O. Douglas Clinical Professor of Law, Yale Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele Pistone</td>
<td>Professor of Law, Villanova University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Kelly</td>
<td>Lecturer on Law, Harvard Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Morawetz</td>
<td>Professor of Clinical Law, New York University School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Hallet</td>
<td>Associate Clinical Professor of Law and Director of the Immigrants’ Rights Clinic, University of Chicago Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Margulies</td>
<td>Professor of Law, Roger Williams University School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Markowitz</td>
<td>Professor of Law, Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip G. Schrag</td>
<td>Delaney Family Professor of Public Interest Law, Georgetown University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Vanessa Merton</td>
<td>Faculty Director &amp; Professor of Law, Immigration Justice Clinic, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel E. Rosenbloom</td>
<td>Professor of Law, Northeastern University School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Settlage</td>
<td>Associate Professor, Wayne State Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca Sharpless</td>
<td>Professor of Law, University of Miami School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reena Parikh</td>
<td>Assistant Clinical Professor, Boston College Law School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Craig B. Mousin</td>
<td>Adjunct Faculty, DePaul University College of Law</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Richard A. Boswell  
Prof. of Law  
Univ. of Calif. Hastings

Romy Lerner  
Associate Director  
Immigration Clinic  
University of Miami School of Law

Rubén G. Rumbaut  
Distinguished Professor  
University of California, Irvine

Sabrineh Ardalan  
Clinical Professor  
Harvard Law School

Sarah H. Paoletti  
Practice Professor of Law and Director, Transnational Legal Clinic  
University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School

Sarah Sherman-Stokes  
Clinical Associate Professor  
Boston University School of Law

Sherally Munshi  
Associate Professor of Law  
Georgetown University Law Center

Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia  
Associate Dean for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Samuel Weiss Faculty Scholar  
Director, Center for Immigrants’ Rights Clinic  
Penn State Law | University Park

Shruti Rana  
Assistant Dean and Professor, Hamilton Lugar School of Global & International Studies  
Indiana University

Stacy Caplow  
Associate Dean of Experiential Education and Professor of Law  
Brooklyn Law School

Stella Burch Elias  
Professor of Law  
University of Iowa College of Law

Stephen Yale-Loehr  
Professor of Immigration Law Practice  
Cornell Law School

Susan Hazeldean  
Associate Professor  
Brooklyn Law School

Susan Musarrat Akram  
Clinical Professor and Director  
Boston University International Human Rights Clinic

Susan R. Gzesh,  
Senior Instructional Professor  
University of Chicago

T. Alexander Aleinikoff  
University Professor and Director, Zolberg Institute on Migration and Mobility  
The New School

Talia Peleg  
Associate Professor of Law and Co-Director, Immigrant and Non-Citizen Rights Clinic, CUNY School of Law

Theo Liebmann,  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Hofstra Law School

Valeria Gomez  
Clinical Teaching Fellow  
University of Connecticut School of Law
Veronica T. Thronson  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Michigan State University

Victor Romero  
Professor of Law  
Penn State Law-University Park

Violeta Chapin  
Clinical Professor of Law  
University of Colorado Law School

Virgil Wiebe  
Professor of Law, Robins Kaplan Director of Clinical Education  
University of St. Thomas School of Law, Minnesota

Yolanda Vázquez  
Professor of Law  
University of Cincinnati College of Law