The Honorable Jerrold Nadler  
Chair, House Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson  
Chair, House Committee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Jamie Raskin  
Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren  
Chair, House Judiciary Immigration & Citizenship Subcommittee

The Honorable Kathleen Rice  
Chair, House Subcommittee on Border Security, Facilitation & Operations

United States House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

November 18, 2019

Re: Request for Action to End “Remain in Mexico” Program

Dear Members of Congress:

We are immigration, human rights, and civil rights organizations and academics, and we write to request that you take action to end the Trump Administration’s “Remain in Mexico” program, formally referred to by the Administration as the “Migrant Protection Protocols” (“MPP”). The Remain in Mexico policy places asylum-seekers in great danger, violates U.S. law, due process, and international legal obligations, and operates with surgical precision to ensure that Latin American asylum-seekers will almost never be granted humanitarian relief and protection from the violence they are fleeing. We urge you to take action to oversee, investigate, and introduce measures to defund and end this unprecedented policy; we understand that oversight hearings will be conducted tomorrow.

The Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) announced Remain in Mexico in December of 2018 and implementation began in January of 2019. As of October 28, 2019, there are six cities along the U.S.–Mexico border where Remain in Mexico is in effect—San Ysidro, Calexico, El Paso, Eagle Pass, Laredo, and Brownsville. Remain in Mexico violates and evades U.S. asylum law and betrays the core values of asylum policy—to provide safety and due process to people seeking U.S. refugee protection.

---


For decades prior to implementation of the Remain in Mexico policy, asylum-seekers who arrived at the Southern U.S. border pursued their asylum claims from within the United States. Typically asylum-seekers were paroled into the U.S., placed into an alternatives-to-detention program, or detained within the U.S. while their case proceeded before the immigration courts (assuming they passed a Credible Fear Interview, for those individuals subject to expedited removal). Under Remain in Mexico, asylum-seekers are “made to wait in Mexico until an immigration judge resolves their asylum claims.” This “wait” can take many months. Despite the overwhelming and ever-present dangers targeting migrants in Northern Mexico, fewer than 1,000 of the over 55,000 migrants placed in the Remain in Mexico program have been allowed to stay in the United States while pursuing their cases. USCIS asylum officers attest that the fear-screening standard and procedures currently in place “virtually guarantee[e] a violation” of international treaty obligations.

Migrants forced to remain in Mexico face violence and kidnappings as well as threats to life, health, and well-being. One study found that between 21% and 24% of migrants in the Remain in Mexico program report receiving threats of violence while in Mexico, and of those, over 50% report that the threats turned into actual violence, including beatings, robbery, and extortion. Journalistic accounts indicate that the actual rate of systematic violence faced by asylum-seekers is higher, especially in Northern Mexican cities along the Texas border where kidnappings are common. As the Administration is well aware, drug and criminal cartels operate with impunity in Northern Mexican cities including Matamoros and Nuevo Laredo, and they have systematically targeted migrants. In addition, because cities in Northern Mexico long ago ran out of shelter space, thousands of migrants live in encampments on the streets, without regular access to food, potable water, or sanitation facilities. Despite the best efforts of faith-based and civic organizations, thousands of migrants are homeless and destitute, lacking access to necessary

---
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health care. The longer an asylum-seeker must “wait” in Mexico, the higher their risk of violence, homelessness, and discrimination.

Further, Remain in Mexico has been used as a tool in the Administration’s separation of more than 1,000 children from their families, even after a federal court and the President ended family separation as a policy in June 2018. In multiple cases, children arrived at the U.S.–Mexico border with a parent but were separated, rendered unaccompanied by DHS officials, and transferred to ORR facilities across the country, while their parents were subjected to Remain in Mexico. It is nearly impossible to advocate for these children or secure their reunification when the location of their parents and family members is unknown or unstable due to conditions in Mexico.

In addition, the Remain in Mexico program subjects asylum-seekers to numerous due process violations, making it almost impossible for them to pursue their asylum cases. As a result, many will be unfairly denied asylum and returned to situations of extreme danger in their home countries.

First, despite knowing the dangers to migrants in Northern Mexico, DHS officials at ports of entry fail to ask asylum-seekers whether they will face danger if they are made to wait in Mexico, in violation of binding principles of non-refoulement.

Second, DHS fails to provide safe and assured transportation to and from removal proceedings for those who are made to wait in Mexico. Rather, DHS requires migrants to navigate through border areas controlled by deadly cartels seeking to kidnap and extort them, in order to make it to a port of entry—often at 4:00 AM, only to wait in line for several hours, often with


14 WONG, supra note 8, at 9–10.
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minor children in tow, for court hearings that begin at 8:00 AM or later. As a result, cartels in Northern Mexico have kidnapped migrants in MPP on their way to and from the port of entry.

Third, DHS provides no exceptions for asylum-seekers who are unable to make it to the port of entry on time because of cartel threats, kidnapping, or assault. DHS seeks in absentia removal orders for all Remain in Mexico migrants who fail to appear for their court hearings, without exception.

Fourth, the Remain in Mexico program impedes access to counsel by placing asylum-seekers in Mexico, at great distance from the vast majority of immigration attorneys. People with cases in immigration court have the right to counsel at their own expense. However, approximately 98% of the 47,313 asylum-seekers in the Remain in Mexico program were unrepresented as of September 2019. Outside of Remain in Mexico, about 63% of immigrants in removal proceedings are unrepresented. Because Remain in Mexico asylum-seekers are barred from entering the U.S. except for brief appearances at immigration court hearings, they are unable to meet with U.S.-based immigration attorneys, making it virtually impossible to obtain counsel. Asylum success rates drastically increase for migrants who secure counsel. For those migrants who are miraculously able to secure counsel, attorneys are drastically limited in the representation they can provide—given the complex legal standards and the trauma experienced by asylum-seekers, meaningful representation requires many hours of client interviews and preparation, and this work simply cannot take place when lawyer and client are separated by an international border.

U.S.-based immigration attorneys hesitate to take cases if they cannot meet face-to-face with their clients to discuss sensitive facts in their asylum cases. These attorneys hesitate to travel to notoriously dangerous areas of Mexico, including Matamoros or Nuevo Laredo, because the U.S. State Department designates the Mexican state of Tamaulipas, where these cities are located,
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23 INGRID EAGLY & STEVEN SHAFER, ACCESS TO COUNSEL IN IMMIGRATION COURT 2 (2016). Migrants with representation are four times more likely to be released from detention, and eleven times more likely to seek asylum than those without counsel. Id. Migrants with representation are much more likely to obtain the relief they seek. Id. at 3.
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a Level 4 “Do Not Travel” zone due to “crime and kidnapping.”\textsuperscript{25} Attorneys are understandably unwilling to risk their lives to take on Remain in Mexico clients.\textsuperscript{26} Additionally, cartels and criminal organizations who target asylum-seekers are acutely aware of any U.S. contacts migrants have. Having counsel in the United States actually increases the risk of danger for a migrant since it adds visibility through in-person meetings or phone contact.

Fifth, the immigration court hearings themselves, conducted by Executive Office of Immigration Review (“EOIR”) judges, subject Remain in Mexico migrants to further violations of procedural due process. Many of the hearings are conducted by video, often with the asylum seeker sitting in a portable trailer in a hastily-constructed temporary tent compound. Court observers have noted that lapses in video connectivity prohibit judges located remotely from conducting effective hearings for asylum-seekers in the Remain in Mexico program. Inaccuracies in translation further compound the errors. In addition, EOIR judges do not provide consistent information about the process to asylum-seekers (e.g., how to turn in the application for asylum, and the consequences of missing a court date) and do not ask every asylum-seeker if they are afraid to return to Mexico. Sometimes DHS provides asylum-seekers with a Notice to Appear (the charging document) indicating the wrong date or location of the hearing. DHS only provides court documents (such as the Notice to Appear and the asylum application) in English, and asylum seekers must submit all applications and evidence in English, although they are trapped in Mexico without U.S. attorneys to assist them.

The Remain in Mexico policy violates fundamental due process principles.\textsuperscript{27} We implore the United States Congress to respond accordingly. We ask that you take the necessary steps to defund and end this policy that undermines domestic and international legal protections for asylum-seekers.

Sincerely,

Organizations

\begin{tabular}{lll}
Alabama Coalition for Immigrant Justice & American Civil Liberties Union & Arab American Family Services \\
Advocate Visitors with Immigrants in Detention in the Chihuahuan Desert & American Gateways & Asian Americans Advancing Justice | Chicago \\
Al Otro Lado & American Immigration Lawyers Association & ASISTA Immigration Assistance \\
Alianza Americas & Americans for Immigrant Justice & \\
\end{tabular}
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Asylum Seeker Advocacy Project (ASAP)
Bay Area Sex Worker Advocacy Network (BAYSWAN)
Bellevue Program for Survivors of Torture
Beyond Legal Aid
Border Crit Institute
Boston University School of Law, Immigrants’ Rights and Human Trafficking Program
Brighton Park Neighborhood Council
Capital Area Immigrants’ Rights Coalition
Catholic Migration Services
Center for Gender & Refugee Studies
Center for Justice and International Law (CEJIL)
Centro Legal de La Raza
Children's Defense Fund - National Office
Children's Defense Fund - Texas
Christian Community Development Association
Christian Reformed Church Office of Social Justice
Cien Amigos
Club Taji Ciudad Hidalgo
Coalición de Derechos Humanos
Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights - CHIRLA
Colectivo Mujeres Transnacionales
Columbia Law School Immigrants’ Rights Clinic
Congregation of Our Lady of Charity of the Good Shepherd, U.S. Provinces
Cornell Law Schoo’s Asylum and Convention Against Torture Appellate Clinic
DC-MD Justice For Our Neighbors
Ecuandureo Unido
End Streamline Coalition
Equal Justice Center
Familias Unidas en Acción
Families Belong Together México
Families Belong Together
Federación de Clubes Michoacanos en Illinois
Federación de Clubes Unidos Zacatecanos en Illinois
Freedom for Immigrants
Government Accountability Project
Grassroots Leadership
Guatemala Solidarity Boston
Hispanic Liaison / El Vínculo Hispano
Houston Immigration Legal Services Collaborative
Tahirih Justice Center, Houston Office
Human Rights Coalition
Human Rights Initiative of North Texas
Illinois Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights
Immigrant Families Together
Immigrant Legal Advocacy Project
Immigrant Legal Resource Center
Indivisible Sacramento
IRCSGV
Jefferson County Immigrant Rights Advocates (JCIRA)
Jesus Nebot International
Kids in Need of Defense
La 72, Hogar - Refugio para Personas Migrantes
Lake County Immigrant Advocacy
Latin America Working Group (LAWG)
Legal Aid Justice Center
Living Hope Wheelchair Association
Lowcountry Immigration Coalition
Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service
Mano a Mano Family Resource Center
Migrant Center for Human Rights
National Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd
National Center for Youth Law
National Immigrant Justice Center
National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild
National Korean American Service and Education Consortium, Illinois Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights
National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights
National Partnership for New Americans (NPNA)
NETWORK Lobby
New Mexico Immigrant Law Center
Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights (NMCIR)
Pangea Legal Services
PASO - West Suburban Action Project
Priests of the Sacred Heart, USA Province
Project IRENE
Project On Government Oversight
Quixote Center
Refugee and Immigrant Center for Education and Legal Services (RAICES)
Refugee Solidarity Network
Refugees International
Religious of the Sacred Heart of Mary, Western American Province
Safe Passage Project
School Sisters of Notre Dame - Central Pacific Province
Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia
Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange
South Texas Human Rights Center
Southern Poverty Law Center
Southwest Suburban Immigrant Project
Still Waters Anti-Trafficking Program
Student Action with Farmworkers
The Alliance
The Chelsea Collaborative
The Rhizome Center for Migrants
T’ruah: The Rabbinic Call for Human Rights
Texas Center for Community Services
U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants (USCRI)
UNC School of Law Clinical Programs
Unitarian Universalist Association
Unitarian Universalist Service Committee
University of Maryland Carey Immigration Clinic
University of Tulsa
College of Law Legal
Clinic
US Human Rights
Network
Washington Office on
Latin America
WESAPC Foundation
WITNESS
Women in Migration
Network (WIMN)
Young Center for
Immigrant Children’s
Rights
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Raquel Aldana
Associate Vice Chancellor
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and Professor of Law
UC Davis
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Professor of Law
UNC School of Law
Clinical Programs
Director, Immigration &
Clinic Rights Clinic
UDC David A. Clarke
School of Law

Jon Bauer
Clinical Professor of Law
and Richard D. Tulisano
'69 Scholar in Human
Rights
University of Connecticut
School of Law
Deborah A. Boehm
Professor, Anthropology
and Gender, Race, and
Identity
University of Nevada,
Reno
Stephanie L Canizales
Assistant Professor of
Sociology
UC Merced

Bill Beardall
Clinical Professor of Law
University of Texas School
of Law
Emily Bosk
Assistant Professor of
Social Work
Rutgers University
Lauren Carasik
Clinical Professor of Law,
Director of the
International Human
Rights Clinic
Western New England
University School of Law

Galya Ben-Arieh
Professor
Northwestern University
Stella Burch Elias
Professor and Chancellor
Hammond Fellow in Law
University of Iowa College
of Law
Jodi Berger Cardoso
Associate Professor
University of Houston

Lenni Benson
Distinguished Professor of
Immigration Law and
Human Rights
New York Law School
Jason A. Cade
J. Alton Hosch Associate
Professor of Law; Director,
Community Health Law
Partnership
University of Georgia
School of Law
Linus Chan
Associate Clinical
Professor of Law
University of Minnesota

Jacqueline Bhabha
Director of Research
Harvard FXB Center for
Health and Human Rights
Jennifer M. Chacón
Professor of Law
UCLA School of Law

Kristina M. Campbell
Jack & Lovell Olender
Professor of Law and Co-
Michael J Churgin  
Raybourne Thompson  
Centennial Professor in Law  
University of Texas at Austin

Jenny-Brooke Condon  
Professor of Law  
Center for Social Justice, Seton Hall Law School

Laurie Cook Heffron  
Assistant Professor  
St. Edward’s University

Erin B. Corcoran  
Executive Director  
Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies

Ivan de la Rosa  
Associate Professor  
New Mexico State University

Jennifer Chappell Deckert  
Associate Professor of Social Work  
Bethel College

Kate Evans  
Director, Immigrant Rights Clinic  
Duke University School of Law

Jill E. Family  
Commonwealth Professor of Law and Government  
Widener Law  
Commonwealth

Monica Faulkner  
Director  
Texas Institute for Child and Family Wellbeing, University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work

Rebecca Feldmann  
Visiting Assistant Professor  
Villanova University  
Charles Widger School of Law

Megan Finno-Velasquez  
Assistant Professor  
New Mexico State University

Paula Galowitz  
Clinical Professor of Law Emerita  
New York University School of Law

Lauren Gilbert  
Professor of Law  
St. Thomas University School of Law

Denise Gilman  
Clinical Professor  
University of Texas School of Law

Valeria Gomez  
Clinical Teaching Fellow  
University of Connecticut School of Law

Anju Gupta  
Professor of Law & Director of the Immigrant Rights Clinic  
Rutgers Law School

Susan Gzesh  
Senior Lecturer  
University of Chicago - Pozen Center for Human Rights

Lindsay M. Harris  
Associate Professor & Co-Director of Immigration and Human Rights Clinic  
University of the District of Columbia David A. Clarke School of Law

Kayleen Hartman  
Supervising Attorney/Clinical Teaching Fellow  
Loyola Immigrant Justice Clinic

Susan Hazeldean  
Associate Professor of Law  
Brooklyn Law School

Geoffrey Heeren  
Visiting Clinical Professor  
University of Iowa College of Law

Laura A. Hernandez  
Professor of Law  
Baylor Law School

Robin Hernandez-Mekonnen  
Associate Professor  
Child Welfare Education Institute
Josiah Heyman
Endowed Professor of Border Trade and Director, Center for Inter-American and Border Studies
University of Texas at El Paso

Barbara Hines
Clinical Professor (Retired)
University of Texas School of Law

Laila L. Hlass
Professor of Practice
Tulane University School of Law

Geoffrey Hoffman
Director
University of Houston Law Center

Madeline Hsu
Professor
University of Texas at Austin

Alan Hyde
Distinguished Professor
Rutgers Law School

Kit Johnson
Associate Professor of Law
The University of Oklahoma College of Law

Lynn Kalinauskas
Lecturer
University of Colorado Denver

Elizabeth Keyes
Associate Professor
University of Baltimore

Jennifer Lee Koh
Visiting Professor of Law
UC Irvine School of Law

Krista Kshatriya
Lecturer
UC San Diego

Stephanie Leutert
Director, Central America and Mexico Policy Initiative
University of Texas at Austin

Alysse Loomis
Assistant Professor
University of Utah College of Social Work

Karen Pita Loor
Associate Dean of Experiential Education & Associate Clinical Professor of Law
Boston University Law School

James Loucky
Professor
Western Washington University

Beth Lyon
Clinical Professor of Law
Cornell Law School

Peter Margulies
Professor of Law
Roger Williams University School of Law

Peter Markowitz
Professor of Law
Cardozo School of Law

Fatma Marouf
Professor of Law and Director of the Immigrant Rights Clinic
Texas A&M University School of Law

Susan Martin
Donald G. Herzberg Professor Emerita in International Migration
Georgetown University

Jose L. Martinez
South Texas College of Law Houston - Legal Clinics

Miriam Marton
Associate Dean of Experiential Learning
University of Tulsa College of Law Legal Clinic

Elizabeth McCormick
Associate Clinical Professor of Law
The University of Tulsa College of Law
Thomas M. McDonnell  
Professor of Law  
Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University

Estelle M. McKee  
Clinical Professor  
Cornell Law School’s Asylum and Convention Against Torture Appellate Clinic

Vanessa Merton  
Professor of Law  
Immigration Justice Clinic, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University

Katie Herbert Meyer  
Assist. Prof. of Practice & Director  
Washington University Immigration Law Clinic

Jennifer Moore  
Professor of Law  
University of New Mexico School of Law

Craig B. Mousin  
Adjunct Faculty  
DePaul University College of Law

Karen Musalo  
Professor of Law  
U.C. Hastings

Jennifer Nagda  
Policy Director  
Young Center for Immigrant Children’s Rights

Natalie Nanasi  
Assistant Professor  
Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law

Ranjana Natarajan  
Clinical Professor  
University of Texas School of Law

Ruth Needleman  
Professor Emeritus  
Indiana University

Emily Torstveit Ngara  
Assistant Clinical Professor  
Georgia State University College of Law

Kerrie Ocasio  
Assistant Professor  
West Chester University of Pennsylvania

Helena Olea-Rodriguez  
Lecturer  
University of Illinois at Chicago

Michael A. Olivas  
Bates Distinguished Chair in Law  
University of Houston Law Center

John Palmer  
Professor  
Pompeu Fabra University

Sarah H. Paoletti  
Practice Professor of Law and Director, Transnational Legal Clinic  
University of Pennsylvania School of Law

Mark Peters  
Director of Justice, Peace and Reconciliation  
Priests of the Sacred Heart, USA Province

Nina Rabin  
Director, Immigrant Family Legal Clinic  
UCLA School of Law

Jaya Ramji-Nogales  
Professor  
Temple University

Shruti Rana  
Professor  
Indiana University Bloomington

Victor Romero  
Professor of Law  
Penn State Law - University Park

Carrie Rosenbaum  
Lecturer & Visiting Scholar  
UC Berkeley

Lory Rosenberg  
Appellate Immigration Judge (Retired)  
Immigrant Defenders Law Group

Rachel E. Rosenbloom  
Professor of Law  
Northeastern University School of Law
Abigail M Ross, Assistant Professor Fordham University Graduate School of Social Service

Rubén G. Rumbaut Distinguished Professor UC Irvine

Daniel G Saunders Professor Emeritus University of Michigan Irene Scharf Professor of Law University of Massachusetts School of Law

Anne Schaufele Practitioner-in-Residence International Human Rights Law Clinic, American University, Washington College of Law

Erica Schommer Clinical Professor of Law St. Mary’s University Immigration and Human Rights Clinic Philip G. Schrag Delaney Family Professor of Public Interest Law Georgetown University

Barbara Schwartz Clinical Professor Emeritus University of Iowa College of Law

Jaime Sepulveda Distinguished Professor, Global Health UC San Francisco

Ragini Shah Clinical Professor of Law Suffolk University Law School

Rebecca Sharpless Professor University of Miami School of Law, Immigration Clinic

Sarah Sherman-Stokes Associate Director, Immigrants’ Rights and Human Trafficking Program Boston University School of Law

Shawn Sidhu University of New Mexico School of Medicine

James D. Simon Assistant Professor California State University, San Bernardino

Jeremy Slack Assistant Professor University of Texas at El Paso

Elissa Steglich Clinical Professor University of Texas School of Law

Christopher Strawn Director, Immigration Law Clinic University of Washington

Maureen Sweeney Law School Professor Carey Immigration Clinic University of Maryland

Margaret Taylor Professor of Law Wake Forest University School of Law

Susan Terrio Professor Emerita of Anthropology Georgetown University Claire R. Thomas Director, Asylum Clinic New York Law School

David B. Thronson Alan S. Zekelman Professor of International Human Rights Law Michigan State University College of Law

Veronica T. Thronson Clinical Professor of Law Michigan State University College of Law

Yolanda Vazquez Professor of Law University of Cincinnati College of Law

Margaret Brown Vega College Assistant Professor New Mexico State University Rosemary Vega Clinical Lecturer UHLC Immigration Clinic
Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia  Deborah M. Weissman
Samuel Weiss Faculty  Reef C. Ivey II
Scholar and Clinical  Distinguished Professor of
Professor of Law  Law
Penn State Law in
University Park
Jonathan Weinberg  Anna Welch
Associate Dean for  Clinical Professor
Research & Faculty  Refugee and Human
Development and  Rights Clinic
Professor of Law  Maine Law
Wayne State University  Luis H. Zayas
Law School  Dean and Professor
Maine Law
Katie Zeiders  The University of Texas at
Lauris Wren  Austin
University of Arizona
Clinical Professor of Law
Maurice A. Deane School
of Law
Hofstra University